## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/849,039	DALTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621	

	OTIVITEE (BTO) : ((O))) ((	1021
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sheet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF AFFEAL  2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2008</u> . A b	oriof in compliance with 27 CED 41	1.27 must be filed within two menths of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), o appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	r any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<del>.</del>	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	wable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: none.  Claim(s) objected to: none.		be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1~9, 35-37 52-64 68-75 and 79-81.</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21-23.32-34.38-51</u>	65-67 76-78 and 82-95	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.00 07.70 10 and 02 30 .	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicants' arguments regarding equivalence of O and S		
both O and S and with similar properties. With regard to n		mpound is administered in-vivo, they
are automatically changed to the metabolites, absent evid		
12.	TIO/OD/UO) Paper NO(S).	
10. [		
	/SHAILENDRA - KUMAI	R/
	Primary Examiner Art U	nit 1621